Scale Dependence of Non-Gaussian Statistics

Elliot Nelson

Institute for Gravitation and the Cosmos Pennsylvania State University

Workshop on Cosmic Acceleration, 2012 Carnegie Mellon University

.

Introduction

- Inflation:
 - Model-dependent predictions for non-Gaussian inhomogeneities in a large, inflating volume:

 $\delta T_{\mu\nu}, \ \delta g_{\mu\nu} \Rightarrow \zeta(\mathbf{X}) \Rightarrow (\delta T/T)_{\text{CMB}}, \ \delta \rho/\rho$

- The observable universe as a small patch
- What is the relationship between the non-Gaussian statistics in a large volume to non-Gaussian statistics observed in a small subsample region?

How are short modes correlated to long modes?

- Statistical problem. Assume only:
 - Observable universe is a small patch
 - Initial field of small fluctuations



Primordial Curvature Perturbation

The primordial curvature perturbation ζ

- Fluctuations in the scale factor from inflation: $ds^2 = -dt^2 + \bar{a}(t)^2(1 + 2\zeta(\vec{x}, t))d\vec{x}^2$
- ζ at end of inflation is a static, fixed field; directly related to observables

 $\zeta(x)$ in two boxes:

$$a(x) = \bar{a}(1 + \zeta(x)), \quad x \in Vol_L$$

= $\bar{a}_M(1 + \tilde{\zeta}(x)), \quad x \in Vol_M,$
with $\bar{a}_M = \bar{a}(1 + \bar{\zeta}).$

• Break ζ into long and short-wavelength modes:

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = \left[\int_{L^{-1}}^{M^{-1}} + \int_{M^{-1}}^{R^{-1}}\right] d^3 \mathbf{k} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} \equiv \overline{\zeta} + \zeta_{\mathbf{s}}$$

ā _ ^ ^

Non-Gaussianity

Interactions in early universe \Rightarrow non-Gaussian statistics

- Non-Gaussianity correlated modes
- *n*-point functions of ζ_k:

$$\begin{array}{l} \checkmark \langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_2} \rangle \equiv (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) P_{\zeta}(k_1), \\ P_{\zeta}(k) \equiv \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} P_{\zeta}(k), \ \langle \zeta(x)^2 \rangle = \int \frac{dk}{k} P_{\zeta}(k) \end{array}$$

$$\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle_C \equiv (2\pi)^3 \delta^3 (\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3) B_{\zeta} (k_1, k_2, k_3)$$



$$\langle \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_1} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_2} \times \ldots \zeta_{\mathbf{k}_n} \rangle_C$$
: more information

Goal: Compare *n*-point functions in large and small box

- Gaussian field: no correlation to background, same statistics on all scales
- Local ansatz: ζ as local nonlinear transformation of Gaussian field

$$\zeta = \sigma + f_{NL}(\sigma^2 - \langle \sigma^2 \rangle)$$
$$\mathcal{P}_{\zeta} = \mathcal{P}_{\sigma} + O(f_{NI}^2 \mathcal{P}_{\sigma}^2)$$

Size of *n*-point Functions Shape of *n*-point Functions

Local Ansatz

Split Gaussian field into long/short wavelengths, $\sigma = \bar{\sigma} + \sigma_s$:

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma + f_{NL}(\sigma^2 - \langle \sigma^2 \rangle) \\ = \underbrace{\bar{\sigma} + f_{NL}(\bar{\sigma}^2 - \langle \bar{\sigma}^2 \rangle)}_{\bar{\zeta}} + \underbrace{(\mathbf{1} + 2f_{NL}\bar{\sigma})\sigma_s + f_{NL}(\sigma_s^2 - \langle \sigma_s^2 \rangle)}_{\zeta_s}$$

Local model applies in the small box:

$$ilde{\zeta} = ilde{\sigma} + ilde{t}_{\it NL} (ilde{\sigma}^2 - \langle ilde{\sigma}^2
angle)$$

• Change in "level of non-Gaussianity" $f_{NL} \mathcal{P}^{1/2}$:

$$\tilde{f}_{NL}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{1/2} = f_{NL}\mathcal{P}^{1/2}(1+2f_{NL}\bar{\sigma})^{-1}$$

Dimensionless moments keep same scaling:

$$\mathcal{M}_n \equiv \frac{\langle \zeta^n \rangle_C}{\langle \zeta^2 \rangle^{n/2}} \propto (f_{NL} \mathcal{P}^{1/2})^{n-2} \simeq \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_n$$

 \Rightarrow Local ansatz with natural series preserved on small scales

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 > .

Observer's View



- WMAP7: $\tilde{f}_{NL}^{\text{local}} = 32 \pm 21 \ (1\sigma)$, Planck: ± 5
- We assumed $\mathcal{P}(k) = \mathcal{P}_0 k^{n_s-1}$ has spectral index $n_s = 0.96$ on all scales

Longer inflation \Rightarrow more background modes \Rightarrow uncertainty in measuring quantities in large volume

More General Local Models

Fine-tuned Local Ansatz:

$$\zeta = \sigma + N_{\rho}\sigma^{\rho} + N_{\rho+1}\sigma^{\rho+1} + \dots$$

▶ Moments may be out of order in large box, $M_{n+1} \neq M_n$:

In small box, regenerate lower terms, naturally ordered series:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_n \propto C (f_{NL}^{\text{eff}} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{1/2})^{n-2} = (N_p \bar{\sigma}^{p-1}) \left(\frac{1+\bar{\zeta}}{\bar{\sigma}} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{1/2} \right)^{n-2} \quad (n \le p)$$

Highly Non-Gaussian Ansatz:

$$\zeta = \sigma^{p} + N_{p+1}\sigma^{p+1} + \dots$$

Regenerate all lower order terms;
 Dominant linear term in sufficiently small subsamples:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_n \propto (f_{NL}^{\text{eff}} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{1/2})^{n-2} = \left(\frac{1}{\bar{\sigma}} \mathcal{P}^{1/2}\right)^{n-2}$$

 \Rightarrow WNG Local ansatz with hierarchical scaling is statistically natural

• I > • = > • = =

Motivation/Context Scale Dependence of Local Ansatz Conclusion

Size of *n*-point Functions Shape of *n*-point Functions

Bispectrum Shapes for Local Ansatz



- $\begin{array}{l} \blacktriangleright \ \ \mbox{For } \zeta = \sigma + f_{NL}\sigma^2, \\ B_{\zeta}(k_1,k_2,k_3) = 2f_{NL}P_{\sigma}(k_1)P_{\sigma}(k_2) + \mbox{cyc.} \ \sim \ \bullet \end{array}$
- For $\zeta = \sigma + N_{\rho}\sigma^{\rho} + ...,$ $B_{\zeta}(k_1, k_2, k_3) \simeq N_{\rho}\langle \sigma_{\mathbf{k}_1}\sigma_{\mathbf{k}_2}(\sigma^{\rho})_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle \sim \bigcirc (\rho = 6) \propto \frown$
- For $\zeta = \sigma^p + ..., B_{\zeta}(k_1, k_2, k_3) \simeq \langle (\sigma^p)_{\mathbf{k}_1}(\sigma^p)_{\mathbf{k}_2}(\sigma^p)_{\mathbf{k}_3} \rangle \sim \bigcirc (p = 2) \sim (--) \times \mathcal{P}_{\sigma} \ln(k_{\min}L)$
- ▶ Regenerate quadratic term in small box ⇒ is dominant piece

Higher *n*-point functions behave similarly

 \Rightarrow Shapes of $\langle \zeta^n \rangle$ for local ansatz change only logarithmically with scale, are protected from arbitrary distortions from coupling to background

[Bramante & Kumar 1107.5362]

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Conclusion

Key Points

- Non-Gaussian statistics in large box and subsamples can be different
 - On small scales, can recover nearly Gaussian field with hierarchical moments
 - Shapes of n-point functions are nearly the same on small scales

Future Work:

- Nonlocal models for ζ: scale-protected shapes?
 - Statistics from inflation
 - Bispectra to look for in LSS data
- Numerical simulations
- Inflation models: uncertainties in parameters from theory
- Large scale structure: new ways to search for NG
 - Local background effects on statistics to probe higher *n*-point functions, eg. halo bias







 $B_{c}(k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3})$

Motivation/Context Scale Dependence of Local Ansatz Conclusion

Thank You

EN, S. Shandera (arXiv:12xx.xxx)

<ロ> <問> <問> < 同> < 同> 、

æ